<u>Table A – Results of Internal Review Committee</u>

Date of Report:	October 31, 2004			
Water Body Under Evalu- Identification #: Name: Length of Segmen County (ies):	0531 Brushy Creek (C)	0531 Brushy Creek (C) 7.0 miles (only the upper 1.0 mile was surveyed)		
Use Attainability Analysi Identification #: Submitter: Date Received: Use Evaluated:	s Study: 0109 City of Cameron February 25, 2005 Whole Body Contact Re	ecreation (WBCR)		
the	rmation Included? ed? Dated? city provided all the required, rel form format as contained in the U	AA protocol.	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No the forms, but dic	l not use
UAA Criteria Evaluated:	☐ 1-Natural Pollutant (☐ 2-Natural, Ephemera ☐ 3-Non-Remedial, Ho ☐ 4-Hydrologic Modif ☐ 5-Natural Physical F ☐ 6-Substantial, Wides	al, Intermittent or Luman Caused Condications. Features.	ition.	
Comments: Sev lifes wat	d since November 28, 19752 en interviews were conducted. As stock watering in the winter, hunti er during the late 1970's.	ctivities included: trapping (mainly during deer	season), and play	
36 t WB at a the	se attainable? EUAA was conducted in October? Oridge. Only the upper 1 mile of the CR was observed. The stream did ny of the 4 sites evaluated on the commendation.	ne 7-mile classified segral not meet the maximum classified portion. More	ment was surveyed a and average dept information is ne	d. No th criteria
Committee Recomm	endation: Retain Use [Modify Use l	Remove Use 🗵	Inconclusive
Committee Members:	Stacia Bax, Ann Crawfo	ord, Donna Menowr	ı	
	ri ment of Resources	Us	il Conservation Vater Protection se Attainability Voll Free (800)	Program Analysis

<u>Table A – Results of Internal Review Committee</u>

